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Abstract: Cognitive Radio is a modern domain that presents the best solution to address the spectrum scarcity problems. Several cognitive radios standards undergo high Peak to Average Power Ratio (PAPR) that might deform transmitted signals. This work presents a model for spectrum sensing based on optimization enabled PAPR exploiting hybrid Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM). The energy, Eigen statistics, and PAPR minimization block are developed uses the hybrid technique to predict the spectrum availability. To technique network with PAPR, the recently modeled optimization method called Improved Grey Wolf Optimization (GWO)-Dragonfly algorithm (DA) is proposed. The GMM is facilitates exploiting energy, Eigen statistics, besides through PAPR. The GMM is altered using an optimization technique, called Improved GWO-DA optimization algorithm. The PAPR is minimized by optimally altering the parameters by developed Improved GWO-DA. The channel availability is calculated by presenting Eigen statistics, energy, and PAPR as input. The efficiency of developed Improved GWO-DA is shown with maximum detection probability, minimum PAPR, and minimum Bit Error Rate (BER) correspondingly.
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Nomenclature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviations</th>
<th>Descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PAPR</td>
<td>Peak to Average Power Ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROCs</td>
<td>Rate of Curves</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC</td>
<td>Fusion Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Base Station</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSS</td>
<td>Cooperative Spectrum Sensing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>Expected Maximization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2S-GLRT</td>
<td>Two-Step Generalized LRT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GMM</td>
<td>Gaussian Mixture Model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSI</td>
<td>Channel State Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>Soft Combining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRN</td>
<td>Cognitive Radio Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIMO</td>
<td>Multiple-Input–Multiple Output</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL</td>
<td>Reinforcement Learning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRT</td>
<td>Likelihood Ratio Test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SU</td>
<td>Secondary User</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>Hard Combining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRU</td>
<td>Cognitive Radio User</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSO</td>
<td>Particle Swarm Optimization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU</td>
<td>Primary User</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>Cognitive Radio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSA</td>
<td>Gravitational Search Algorithm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BER</td>
<td>Bit Error Rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Newton–Raphson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCDF</td>
<td>Complimentary Cumulative Distribution Function</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. Introduction

The most important necessities of a wireless telecommunication service are the accessibility of the radio spectrum. In wireless communication, the major challenge is to competently make use of the radio spectrum. The spectrum exploited for wireless radio technology is restricted and an exclusive natural resource. In radio spectrum scarcity incredible expansion of wireless communication market encompasses ensued. The Federal Communications Commission’s state claim which is simply the miniature segment of the on the whole accessible radio spectrum is proficiently exploited. The respite is under-used or unused. Consequently, there is a requirement to enhance the spectrum consumption and the probable resolution is the dynamic spectrum admittance, especially a CRN. Using 5G technology, there can be heterogeneous networks works on in a similar state. To present suppleness to enthusiastically changing circumstances, it needs to integrate CR technology into CR access networks. Hence, CR is a significant example in the wireless communication field.

A CRN regulates to nearby radio environment based on its knowledge. It can admit licensed spectrum astutely, opportunistically, and through superior effectiveness. This enhances the general exploitation of the radio spectrum. Basically, a CRN comprises of CR PU, BS, and a SU/CRU. A CRN begins employing spectrum sensing to notice an empty spectrum for CRUs, to encompass appropriate spectrum use. The CR system is a suitable option, as it can competently notice spectrum holes and it can admit spectrum opportunistically for dynamic spectrum access.

Multiple antenna systems and CSS are set up methods to conflict aforesaid possessions of wireless channels by using spatial diversity between SUs to improve accurateness of traditional SS. In CSS, initial, FC chooses a frequency or a channel band of concentration to sense and requests all assisting CR users to independently carry out local sensing. Subsequently, all integrating SUs might either transmit their sensed values of energy/data to FC in the scenario of SC strategies or transmit local hard decisions to FC in the scenario of HC strategies. Furthermore, FC integrates this information to create ultimate decisions regarding the attendance or nonattendance of the PU signal. It is significant noting which transmit of a local hard decision to FC is higher gorgeous than transmitting analog data because of its sensible accomplishment encompass numerous benefits like, improved consistency, minimum cost, fault tolerance, scalability, energy effectiveness, and limited bandwidth requirements.

Spectrum sensing is a critical procedure carried out by CRs to decide attendance or nonattendance of Primary Users in frequency bands of interest. In the scenario of binary detection issue (unfilled else engaged) in a single band, many decision schemes use the likelihood ratio based strategies and the optimal decision technique is a threshold detector that increases area with ROC curve. Energy recognition, Coherent recognition, second-moment recognition, cyclo-stationary recognition, and covariance detection are a small number of schemes to carry out the one-band spectrum sensing.

The important contribution of this paper is to present a spectrum sensing algorithm based on a recently formulated optimization method exploiting the GMM technique. At first, energy, Eigen statistic, and PAPR block are used to predict spectrum availability. The Eigen statistics and energy is calculated based on redesigning the receiver exploiting the PAPR block. The Improved GW-DA is used to design the network using PAPR block beside energy statistics and Eigen evaluation. The developed Improved GW-DA method is the hybridization of conventional GWO and DA. The GMM is established by exploiting PAPR, energy, and Eigen statistics. The GMM is tuned using Improved GW-DA that is formulated by combing GWO and DA. After adjusting the parameters of GMM exploiting developed methods, channel availability is estimated by taking PAPR, energy, and Eigen statistics in GMM.

2. Literature Review

In 2020, R Rajaguru et al [1], present a hybrid algorithm that combines clustering with EM approach and RL methods. This hybrid technique improves the system performance with precise sensing outcomes and by recognizing the optimum spectrum band during the hierarchical access model exploiting the interweaving technique, energy utilization was reduced. The experimentation outcomes demonstrate that by minimizing the error ratio probabilities, rate of a false alarm and missed recognition, the accurateness of sensing outcomes were enhanced.

In 2020, Jay Patel et al [2], presented a three-fold scheme such as a new technique to estimate the sensing performance of multi-band spectrum-sensing exploiting the localization ROCs. The optimal spectrum-sensing schemes which exploit area under localization ROC curves and it was exploited as performance benchmarks for any other multiband spectrum sensing method. A structure to examine energy disbursement of multi-band spectrum sensing was employed.

In 2020, Geoffrey Eappen and Shankar T [3], developed a new hybridization of PSO with GSA named as Hybrid PSO-GSA. The developed new hybridization of GSA and PSO, it was probable to attain
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balanced swapping among exploitation and exploration capabilities of PSO-GSA approach. Over and above that, using an amalgamation of crossover and mutation factor in GSA-PSO, the developed method was competently capable to notice the spectrum holes through the optimized values of transmission power, exploration, and as sensing bandwidth. Hence, to improve the energy effectiveness of the spectrum sensing was attained.

In 2020, G.P.Aswathy et al [4], presented a consistent transmission of data method for SUs exploiting developed non-zero syndrome parallel concatenated Gallager codes using interleaving for CRN to perform real-time spectrum sensing against white space. The joint sensing method beside prior knowledge from the geolocation database enhances spectrum sensing performance by minimized complexity was additional appropriate for minimum-power cognitive devices.

In 2020, Amir Zaimbashi [5], addressed the spectrum sensing issue in a calibrated multi-antenna CRN. Hence, a composite hypothesis testing issue was devised consistent with the covariance matrix of the received signal. Subsequently, the LRT rule to obtain a few novel LRT tests was choice. This issue was formerly resolved based on the 2S-GLRT, which leads to diverse recognition schemes in evaluation with this research; hence the developed technique was indicated as a precise spectrum sensing algorithm.

In 2020, Abhishek Kumar et al [6], developed a new spectrum sensing model for multiuser MIMO cooperative CRNs and a decision assurance method for selection of antenna in FC to minimize its calculations’ burden. Moreover, initially, a Log- LRT based energy recognition of PU link was carried out by every SU exploiting multiple receive antennas and with defective CSI. Subsequently, the local decision was transmitted to the FC utilizing multiple transmit antennas to struggle hidden node issues.

3. System Model of CR

The fabulous practice of wireless communication and its services leads to a deficiency in radio spectrum resources. Cognitive Radio is a rising model that pretences and the ability to deal with the spectrum scarcity and improves spectrum effectiveness. The CR scrutinizes the wireless environment and studies the practice patterns of spectrum frequencies and modifies the circumstances of the wireless environment. The effectual manner to employ the spectrum is by allowing CR to entée licensed spectrums. Spectral sharing tends effectual practice of spectrum, other than the attendance of CR reason interference. To alleviate the interference, an effectual algorithm is developed based on CR for spectrum sensing on the basis of optimization enabled PAPR exploiting hybrid mixture technique. Fig. 1 shows the architecture technique of CR.

![Fig. 1. System model of CR](image)

Here, communication happening is demonstrated with the SU and the PU in the CR that aid to update BS and other SU based on their obligation of spectral bands. The obtainable bands are divided among PU. The channel predicts free bands while new user requests happen and communicate to decide accessibility of channel based on their energy of spectral.
4. Proposed Model for Spectral Sensing and PAPR Minimization

Fig. 2 shows the diagrammatic representation of the developed spectral sensing framework exploiting the CR technique. The objective is to structure a model for spectrum sensing based on their optimization enabled PAPR exploiting GMM. Initially, the signal energy, Eigen statistics, and PAPR minimization block are given to hybrid mixture technique to forecast spectrum availability. The Eigen statistics and energy is calculated on the basis of their remodeling the receiver end of network exploiting PAPR block that is comprised in the network. To design a network about PAPR block to Eigen and energy statistics calculation, a novel developed optimization model, namely a developed algorithm is considered. The developed method is the hybridization of the conventional improved GWO and DA. The GMM is enabled by exploiting Eigen statistics, energy, and PAPR outcome. The GMM [7] is a tuned exploiting optimization method, such as Improved GWO-DA. After optimally altering GMM parameters exploiting Improved GWO-DA, the channel availability is calculated exploiting PAPR, energy, and Eigen statistics as input.

Let a CR system about $r$ receivers and $t$ transmitters. The communication is detained in which the $r$ sensors in CR acquire data samples transmitted using $t$ transmitters. The data sample matrix $D$ is modeled about data samples produced from the transmitter that is stated in eq. (1)

$$D=[D_{k,1}^{l,r}: (1 \leq k \leq r); (1 \leq l \leq g)]$$

whereas, $g$ indicates total samples of data, $D_{k,1}^{l}$ indicates the $l^{th}$ data sample received about $k^{th}$ sensor in CR. The transmitted signals are gathered in the matrix $Q$, in that it is stated in eq. (2).

$$Q=[Q_{m,1}^{l}]:g$$

whereas, $g$ indicates the total data samples, $f$ indicates a total transmitter, and $Q_{m,1}^{l}$ indicates the signal from $m^{th}$ transmitter. The data sample matrix is indicated in eq. (3).
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\[ D = [C^T Z] + T \] (3)

In eq. (3), \( T \) states thermal matrix, \( C \) states channel gain, and \( Z \) states acquired output. The channel matrix is stated as below:

\[ C = |C_{k,m}|_{kx1} \] (4)

In eq. (4), \( C_{k,m} \) state the channel gain among \( m^{th} \) transmitter and \( k^{th} \) sensors. The noise \( N \) is represented when modeling the channel matrix \( J \) that is stated in eq. (5).

\[ E = [E_{k,1}]_{1x1} \] (5)

In eq. (5), \( E_{k,1} \) indicates the channel thermal noise which receives data from \( k^{th} \) sensor. The data sample matrix \( D \) is subjected to compute Eigen statistics, energy, and PAPR (i.e.,) subjected as an input to the hybrid mixture technique to predict channel availability. At first, the covariance matrix \( G \) is formulated exploiting \( D \), that is stated in eq. (6).

\[ G = \text{Expected}[|D|D^T] \] (6)

In eq. (6), \( \text{Expected} \) states expected value operator, \( G^+ \) indicates conjugate and a swap of \( D \). After improving with utmost likelihood calculate,

\[ G = \frac{1}{\delta}[D(D^T)] \] (7)

The Eigenvalues of \( G \) is indicated in eq. (8).

\[ \{\varepsilon_1 \geq \varepsilon_2 \geq \ldots \geq \varepsilon_r\} \] (8)

The energy is indicated in eq. (9).

\[ H = \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\sum_{k=1}^{r} \varepsilon_k} \] (9)

In eq. (9), \( \varepsilon_k \) indicate the Eigenvalues of \( r^{th} \) sensor. The Eigen statistics are stated in eq. (10).

\[ A = \frac{1}{t \times \alpha^2} \sum_{k=1}^{r} \varepsilon_k \] (10)

In eq. (10), \( \varepsilon_k \) indicate the Eigenvalues of \( k^{th} \) sensor and \( \alpha \) indicate the thermal noise factor.

4.1 Proposed Model for PAPR Minimization

The input data \( D_N = \{D_1, D_2, \ldots , B - 1\} \) explained for OFDM is stated in eq. (11).

\[ D_{\text{OFDM}} = \left[ D_0, D_1, \ldots , D_{B-2}, D_{B-1} \right] \] (11)

In eq. (11), \( D_i \) indicate the QAM signal or modulated PSK, \( B \) indicate the number of subcarriers. For OFDM system data block \( D \) is altered with 2 transmitting antenna array that is stated as below:

\[ D_{\text{LMIMO}} = [D_0, \ldots , D_{B-1}, D_{B-2}^*, \ldots , D_{B-1} | T \] (12)

\[ D_{\text{LMIMO}} = [D_0, \ldots , D_{B-1}, D_{B-2}^*, \ldots , D_{B-1} | T \] (13)

whereas, (\( \cdot \))' states the complex conjugate operation. Subsequent Inverse Fast Fourier Transform, the discrete-time transmitted data \( d_N \) is stated in eq. (14).

\[ d_N(b) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{B}} \sum_{b=1}^{B-1} D_N(b)e^{j2\pi bP_b/B} \] (14)

In eq. (14), \( d_N(b) \) indicates the data sample and is it stated as \( D_N = \{d_1, d_2, \ldots , B - 1\} \), and \( B \) indicate total data carriers. The PAPR of \( d_N \) is stated as below:

\[ \text{PAPR}_{d_N} = \max_{0 \leq b < B-1} |d_N(b)|^2 \] (15)

The PAPR of OFDM is stated as below:

\[ \text{PAPR}_{\text{OFDM}} = \text{PAPR}_{d_{\text{OFDM}}} \] (16)

\[ \text{PAPR}_{\text{MIMO}} = \max \{\text{PAPR}_{d_{\text{LMIMO}}}, \text{PAPR}_{d_{\text{LMIMO}}} \} \] (17)

The input signal \( D_N \) is multiple of \( X \) rotation phase series that is stated as below:

\[ R_x = \{R_{x0}, R_{x1}, \ldots , R_{x(B-1)}, x = 0, 1, \ldots , x - 1 \} \] (18)
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In eq. (17), \( R_b^x = e^{by_b^x}, \rho_b \in (0,2\pi) \). These \( D_\alpha^i \) are stated as below:

\[
D_{\text{OFDM}}^i = \left[ R^x_0 D_0^x R^x_1 D_1^x \ldots R^x_{B-2} D_{B-2}^x R^x_{B-1} D_{B-1}^x \right]^T
\]

\[
D_{\text{MIMO}}^i = \left[ R^x_0 D_0^x R^x_1 D_1^x \ldots R^x_{B-2} D_{B-2}^x - R^x_{B-1} D_{B-1}^x \right]^T
\]

\[
D_{\text{MMIMO}}^i = \left[ R_{B-1}^x D_{B-1}^x R_{B-2}^x D_{B-2}^x \ldots R_0^x D_0^x \right]^T
\]

At last, \( d_\alpha^i \) of least PAPR is selected for the transmission of the signal and attained transmission signal is indicated as below:

\[
d_\alpha^i = \arg \min_{d_\alpha^i \in \{0,1,2,3\}} \{ \text{PAPR}_{\text{OFDM}}, \text{PAPR}_{\text{MMIMO}} \}
\]

4.2 GMM Model Description

The GMM [7] consist of energy, Eigen statistics, and PAPR that is represented in eq. (23).

\[ O = \text{GMM} \left[ c, H, \text{PAPR}_{d_N} \right] \]

In eq. (23), \( O \) shows predicted output instead of class as also engaged or non-engaged. Let the intermediate classes as represented in eq. (24).

\[ J = \left[ j_1, j_2, \ldots, j_{s_{\omega}} \right] \]

In eq. (24), \( s_{\omega} \) indicates the number of classes. The class is indicated by exploiting the latent variable \( \{w_1, \ldots, w_{s_{\omega}}\} \). The GMM is stated in eq. (25)

\[ M(c) = \sum_{w} M(c, w) = \sum_{w} P(w|M(c)|w) = \sum_{i=0}^{s_{\omega}} \sum_{i} \eta_{i} \times \omega(c|\rho_{c}, \sum i) \]

In eq. (25), \( w \) indicates a condition, \( w \) indicates a vector, whereas \( w_i = 1, \eta_{i} \) and \( \rho_{c} \) indicates the mixing coefficient, \( \omega \) indicates the classes and \( \omega \) indicates a class value, \( \sum i \) indicates the covariance.

The GMM is stated as below:

\[ M(w_i) = \eta_{i}; \quad M(c|w_i) = \omega(c|\rho_{c}, \sum i) \]

In eq. (26), \( M(c|w_i) \) state the likelihood, \( M(w_i) \) state the prior classes probabilities. The posterior probability of class is indicated in eq. (27).

\[ \text{Post}(w_i|c) = \frac{M(w_i)M(c|w_i)}{M(c)} = \frac{\eta_{i}(c, \omega(c|\rho_{c}, \sum i))}{\sum_{i=1}^{s} \omega(c|\rho_{c}, \sum s)} \]

In eq. (27), \( M(c|w_i) \) state the likelihood \( M(w_i) \) denotes prior probability and \( \text{Post}(w_i|c) \) denotes the class posterior probability.

4.3 Gaussian Parameters Optimization

The objective of the optimization model is to alter Gaussian parameters to derive class to determine the accessibility of the spectrum previous to the allocation of the band to other users. The developed method is used to determine optimal Gaussian parameters. The Improved GWO-DA is modeled by combing GWO [8] with DA [9] method. The integration of GWO with diverse optimization methods is companionable. Also, GWO facades numerous advantages, such as fast detection of best solutions that are suitable to solve diverse types of optimization problems, and is a capable global technique to search. The proposed converge solution utilizing the value of global optimum with an improved rate. Employing the advantages of improved GWO and DA, the method is combined to choose optimal Gaussian parameters. Moreover, the developed method is used to optimally tune Gaussian parameters to yield superior performance in prediction. The updated solution of the proposed method is stated in eq. (27).

\[
k \left[ \nu_{n+1} \right] = \begin{cases} \frac{(1 - \mu \times P)}{(1 - \mu \times P) - V_2} & \text{if } \rho < 0.5 \\ \frac{(1 - \mu \times P) - V_2}{(1 - \mu \times P + e^{\beta \times \cos(2\pi)})} & \text{if } \rho \geq 0.5 \end{cases}
\]

(28)
In eq. (28), $\vec{v}_1$ and $\vec{v}_2$ denote coefficient vectors, $K(t)$ state location vector, $\delta$ indicates current iteration, $\vec{r}(t)$ denotes the position vector regarding the optimal solution, $i$ states arbitrary count in $[-1,1]$, $\mu$ states a scale factor among $[0,1]$, $g$ states spiral movement shape, $\rho$ states arbitrary count in $[0,1]$ , and $P$ states an arbitrary count ranging among 0 and 1.

### 4.4 Prediction of GMM

The final step is GMM [10] prediction, which groups transitional classes to decide the last class to forecast the accessibility of spectrum. From intermediary sets $J = \{ J_1, \ldots, J_\omega \}$, the concluding class is derived as engaged or unengaged bands. Hence, while input signal $\kappa$ variance $\tau$, and mean $\eta$ is stated, the GMM forecast class explaining the spectrum accessibility.

$$O = \text{GMM}(\eta, \tau, \kappa)$$  \hspace{1cm} (29)

### 5. Optimized Approach for PAPR Minimization

The conventional GWO method is based on the Swarm Intelligence model of optimization which shows the leadership of grey wolves concerning its behavior in hunting. This method contains two alterations in traditional GWO. Basically, the increases in the variety using rival learning techniques. Then the value of the parameter that oscillates between value $[2, 0]$ for almost 75% iteration and attains few extended values for the residual period. The Dragonfly Algorithm states examinations and the usage stage. While merging these two stages of the Dragonfly technique those target final results could be obtained.

#### Algorithm 1: Pseudo code of the proposed model

Initialize the population of GWO and DA
Start with the enhancement and set iteration counter iter=0
Pareto scheme on the basis of non dominate solutions
The most important content from Pareto solutions in all iterations should be updated
Three optimal solutions attained must be saved by the Pareto set
During the hunting process prey encircle all the grey wolves encircle the prey
Verify boundary limits
End process
Attack prey

Initialize the search agents which start the place regularly using a variety of sets of uniform counts distributing ranges of boundaries.

$$y_i = y_i^{\text{min}} + v(y_i^{\text{max}} - y_i^{\text{min}})$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

In eq. (30), $y_i$ signifies the rate of $i^{th}$ wolf resulting to $i^{th}$ direct variable, $y_i^{\text{max}}$ besides with $y_i^{\text{min}}$ are superior and smaller limits of $i^{th}$ direct variable beside with $v$ signifies an indistinguishable chance in time $[0,1]$. Additionally, produce a repository for Pareto-set.

By using to calculate basic procedure in the course of iteration nearly every one of wolves performs the complete NR analysis. The primary of attained result from NR, the value of the elementary procedure is computed.

The algorithm of Pareto is

$$\forall i = [1,2,\ldots,n], F_i(y_1) \leq F_i(y_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

$$E_i = [1,2,\ldots,n], F_i(y_1) \leq F_i(y_2)$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

Stored in the majority significant set of Pareto is afterward obtained after application.

$$A = 2ar_1 - a$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

$$C = 2r_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

Where a minimized in sequence from 2 to 0, $r_1$ and $r_2$ indicates distributed arbitrarily in the range $[0,1]$.

The boundary limits corroboration possesses convinced scenario, obliteration in variation limits, location of consequent wolves must be fixing by exploiting

$$y_{\text{lim}} = \begin{cases} y_{\text{max}} & \text{if } y > y_{\text{max}} \\ y_{\text{min}} & \text{if } y < y_{\text{min}} \end{cases}$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)
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It must be obtained directly while the majority count of iteration is fulfilled. As rapidly as preys discontinue, the chasing procedure of grey wolves will also be ended.
From the repository, the final Pareto set is attained.

6. Results and Discussions

6.1 Experimental Setup

In this section analysis of the developed model over the conventional models was shown. Simultaneously with this, the performance analysis of the developed method was evaluated regarding CCDF, detection probability, and Bit Error Rate (BER). The existing methods used for computation of the efficiency of the developed model over the conventional models were performed exploiting Gaussian channels and Rayleigh, Rician channels.

6.2 Performance Analysis

Table 1 shows the performance analysis of proposed and conventional techniques based on the three metrics such as detection probability, PAPR, and BER metrics. The maximum performance is obtained by a developed model with high detection probability, and minimum PAPR and BER for Gaussian channels and Rayleigh, Rician channels. The complete analysis exhibits that developed technique outperforms conventional models.

Table 1: Performance analysis of developed and Traditional techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metrics</th>
<th>Channels</th>
<th>GMM+GA</th>
<th>GMM+PSO</th>
<th>GMM+WOA</th>
<th>GMM+ACO</th>
<th>Developed algorithm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Probability of detection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Using 23 users)</td>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.872</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.866</td>
<td>0.873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rician</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.0031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gaussian</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of detection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Using 30 users)</td>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>0.837</td>
<td>0.873</td>
<td>0.886</td>
<td>1.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rician</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gaussian</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
<td>10.813</td>
<td>8.236</td>
<td>7.073</td>
<td>7.082</td>
<td>7.683</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rician</td>
<td>10.002</td>
<td>8.233</td>
<td>7.078</td>
<td>7.113</td>
<td>7.333</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaussian</td>
<td>10.383</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>7.131</td>
<td>7.108</td>
<td>7.032</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BER</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayleigh</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td>0.013</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rician</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gaussian</td>
<td>0.0008</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0007</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Conclusion

This work develops a model for spectrum sensing exploiting optimization established PAPR exploiting hybrid combination technique. At first, the signal energy and its Eigen statistics were exploited by the hybrid combination technique to formulate the prediction of spectrum accessibility. The Eigen statistics and energy was calculated based on the redesigning receiver ends of the network by exploiting the PAPR block that was comprised at the receiver end of the network. To represent the network about PAPR block to energy statistics and Eigen estimation, the developed method was adapted. The developed method was the combination of the conventional GWO and DA algorithm. The GMM was set up exploiting the input of Eigen statistics and energy detector. The GMM was optimally adjusted exploiting the developed method that was modeled by combining the GWO and DA algorithm. Formerly the GMM optimally tunes its parameters exploiting Improved GWO, the channel accessibility was calculated by giving the Eigen statistics and energy as input. The developed method outperforms with conventional techniques with maximum detection probability, minimum PAPR, and BER correspondingly.
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